

# **BOA Stage & Screen Production Academy**

# Non-Examination Assessment Policy

Last re	view date:	September 2023	
Next review date:		September 2024	
Statutory Policy:		Yes	
Date	Version	Reason for change	Review
			Annually

# What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u>— Foreword, page 3]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

# Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

# What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

# The basic principles

### Head of centre

- Ensures that the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internally assessed marks

### **Senior leaders**

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

### **Directors**

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.
- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments
- Works with the SLT to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether
  the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or
  is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

# Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies
  with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments,
  including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on
  the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body

### **Exams officer**

 Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

# Task setting

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

# **Issuing of tasks**

## Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

### Task taking

# **Supervision**

# Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

### **Advice and feedback**

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

### Resources

# Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

# Word and time limits

# Subject teacher

• Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

# **Collaboration and group work**

### Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate,
   allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

### **Authentication procedures**

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
  - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
  - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results
  has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed,
  whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs the exams officer

### Presentation of work

# Subject teacher

- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

# Keeping materials secure

# Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body
  moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the
  outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

# **IT Manager**

 Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

# Task marking – externally assessed components

# Conduct of externally assessed work

### Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

# **Submission of work**

### Subject teacher

Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

# **Exams officer**

 Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner

- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

# Task marking - internally assessed components

# Marking and annotation

# Subject teacher

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed in a timely manner to enable an internal appeal to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

# **Internal standardisation**

### Director

 Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence

# Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

# **Consortium arrangements**

# Director

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated(where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- Liaises with the exams officer to ensure form JCQ/CCA is submitted to the awarding body for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline

### **Exams officer**

- Arranges completion of form JCQ/CCA <u>Centre consortium arrangements for centre assessed work</u>
- Submits form JCQ/CCA to the deadline for each exam series affected
- Submits marks to the awarding body deadline
- Where relevant, liaises with other consortium exams officers to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline
- Where relevant (as the consortium lead), retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series

### Submission of marks and work for moderation

### **Directors**

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a
  record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams
  officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work,
   confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

### **Exams officer**

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a
  record of the marks submitted to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers
  that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
  - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
  - o moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
  - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

# Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

# Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation)
   under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

### **Exams officer**

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

### External moderation - feedback

### **Directors**

• Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

### **Exams officer**

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

# **Access arrangements**

# Subject teacher

 Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

# Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and</u> <u>Reasonable Adjustments</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

# **Special consideration**

# Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
  - o is absent
  - o produces a reduced quantity of work
  - work has been lost
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

### **Exams officer**

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special</u> consideration process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site
  is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed
  timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

# Malpractice

# **Head of centre**

- Understands the responsibility to report to the relevant awarding body any suspected cases of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u>

# Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and</u> candidates' work
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social</u> <u>Media</u>

### **Exams officer**

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>
  <u>Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and</u> candidates' work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of suspected malpractice

# **Enquiries about results**

### **Head of centre**

 Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an enquiry about results request or not supporting an appeal following the outcome of an enquiry about results

### Director

- Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results
- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

### **Exams officer**

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and
  internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ
  publication Post Results Services, Information and quidance for centres
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

# Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

### **Head of centre**

 Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

# **Directors**

- Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments
- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria

 Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

# Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

# **Exams officer**

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access	Director IT Staff
body online  Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details  Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc.	Director
assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates  Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria  Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	Teacher
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Director
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification given to candidates	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and	Teacher/ Director
undertaking new specification	requirements/tasks for new specifications Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	Teacher/ Director
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Teacher/ Director
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Director
Task taking		
Supervision		- , ,
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	Teacher/ Director
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course	Teacher/ Director
under appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	IT Staff

Insufficient companision of	Confirm subject togehors are sware of and follow the sware to	Toocher
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ	Teacher
candidates to enable work to	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	
be authenticated	assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the	
	awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of	
	candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and	
	responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination	
	assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	Directors
malpractice prior to	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	SLT
submitting their work for	(chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed	(Exams Officer)
assessment	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal	
	disciplinary procedures are followed	
Access arrangements were	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	Director/Teacher
not put in place for an	the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the	Exams Officer
assessment where a	process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the	
candidate is approved for	candidate	
arrangements		
Advice and feedback	I	1
Candidate claims appropriate	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	
advice and feedback not given	record all information provided to candidates before work begins	Teacher/
by subject teacher prior to	as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	Director
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and	Director
Starting on their work	sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to	
	candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the	
	subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to	
	starting on their work	<b>-</b> /
Candidate claims no advice	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	Teacher/
and feedback given by subject	record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the	Director
teacher during the task-taking	task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance	
stage	procedures	
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and	
	sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to	
	candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the	
	subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the	
	task-taking stage	
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are	
assistance was given to	interviewed and statements recorded where relevant	Teacher
candidates by the subject	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance	Director
teacher over and above that	given	SLT
allowed in the regulations and	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted	
specification	to the awarding body	
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information	Teacher
information from published	before work is submitted for formal assessment	
source	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Table 1 and	I

	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own recearch, planning	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued	
	completion	
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the	Teacher
references as required	set out of references before work is submitted for formal	
	assessment	
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued	
	completion	
Candidate joins the course	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to	Teacher
late after formally supervised	catch up	
task taking has started	,	
Candidate moves to another	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be	Teacher
centre during the course	done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	Exams Officer
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the	Teacher
complete his/her non-	specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream	Exams Officer
examination assessment(s)	education	SLT
	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking	02.
	are made separately for the candidate	
Resources		
A candidate augments notes	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in	Teacher
and resources between	and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	. 50.51.51
formally supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected	
, capeca cecicin	in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for	
	candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
acknowledge sources on work	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including	
that is submitted for	books, websites and audio/visual resources	
assessment	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
ussessiment	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	Teacher
	acknowledges sources appropriately	reaction
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits	J Submitted to the awarang body for the candidate	l
A candidate is penalised by	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	Teacher
the awarding body for	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	reactiet
exceeding word or time limits	Where limits are for quidance only, candidates are discouraged	
CACCCAING WOLD OF LITTLE HITTIES	from exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on	
	word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group work	word or time inints is known and anderstood	<u> </u>
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	
groups where the awarding	checked to determine if group work is permitted	Teacher
body specification states this	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	i cacilei
is not permitted	unresolved	
•	unicsoned	l
Authentication procedures		

A teacher has doubts about	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	
	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'	Teacher
the authenticity of the work	_	
submitted by a candidate for	work	Director
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	
Constitution of the desired for the constitution	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
Candidate plagiarises other	examination assessments	
material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need	
	to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination	
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	Teacher
authentication	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	Director
statement/declaration	examination assessments	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do	
	to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of	
	a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	Director
to sign authentication forms	sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates	
	work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before	Teacher
complete the awarding body's	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
cover sheet that is attached to		
their worked submitted for		
formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	Director
formal supervised sessions is	JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	
not securely stored	assessments	
	Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate	
	secure storage	
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	Directors
available to subject teacher	subject teacher prior to the start of the course	Exam Officer
avanable to subject teacher.	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	ZAGIII GIIIGGI
Task marking – externally asse		
A candidate is absent on the	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	Director
day of the examiner visit for	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate	Exam Officer
an acceptable reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a	
an acceptable reason	request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
A candidate is absent on the	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Teacher
day of the examiner visit for	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Director
an unacceptable reason		SLT
	sed components	JL1
Task marking – internally asses		Toacher
A candidate submits little or	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	Teacher
no work	absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body	Director
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is	
	assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated	

		Т
	appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the	
	assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding	
	body	
A candidate is unable to finish	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	Director
their work for unforeseen	the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine	Exam Officer
reason	eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	
The work of a candidate is lost	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	Director
or damaged	the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine	Exam Officer
	eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged	
	work	
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	Director
discovered	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	
	(chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed	
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ	
	publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and	
	Assessments are followed	
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	
A teacher marks the work of	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body	Director
his/her own child	that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the	SLT
	course	
	Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether	
	part of the sample requested or not	
An extension to the deadline	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be	Director
for submission of marks is	granted	Exams Officer
required for a legitimate	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	
reason	the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine	
	eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination	
	assessment extension	
After submission of marks, it	Awarding body is contacted for guidance	Director
is discovered that the wrong	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	Exams Officer
task was given to candidates	the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine	
0	eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special	
	consideration for candidates	
A candidate wishes to appeal	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded	Director
the marks awarded for their	for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding	2.1.000
work by their teacher	body	
	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	
	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	
	through the awarding body's moderation process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior	
	to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the	
	submission of marks	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made	
	aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale	
	for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the	
	awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at	Teacher
for formal assessment not	the start of the course	Director
met by candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	SLT
include candidate	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought	JLI
	1	
	to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking	

	providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Director
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments